# IFT 6085 - Lecture 2 Basics of convex analysis and gradient descent

This version of the notes has not yet been thoroughly checked. Please report any bugs to the scribes or instructor.

Scribes: Assya Trofimov, Mohammad Pezeshki, Reyhane Askari

Instructor: Ioannis Mitliagkas

#### **1** Summary

In this first lecture we cover some optimization basics with the following themes:

- Lipschitz continuity
- Some notions and definitions for convexity
- Smoothness and Strong Convexity
- Gradient Descent

Note: Most of this lecture has been adapted from [1].

### 2 Introduction

In this section we introduce the basic concepts of optimization. The gradient descent algorithm is the workhorse of machine learning. It generally has two equivalent interpretations:

- downhill
- local minimization of a function

**Definition 1** (Lipschitz continuity). A function f(x) is L-Lipschitz if

 $|f(x) - f(y)| \le L||x - y||$ 

Intuitively, this is a measurement of how steep the function can get (Figure 1).



Figure 1: Lipschitz constant

This also implies that the derivative of the function cannot exceed L.

$$f'(x) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} \frac{f(x+\delta) - f(x)}{\delta}$$

and

$$f'(x) = \lim_{y \to x} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{x - y} = \lim_{y \to x} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} \le I$$

As a consequence, L-Lipschitz implies that f'(x) is bounded by L

$$|f'(x)| \le L$$

Lipschitz continuity can be seen as a refinement of continuity. Example:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} exp(-\lambda x), & \text{if } x > 0\\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that f(x) is L-lipschitz. As the  $\lambda$  value increases, the closer the function gets to discontinuity (Figure 2).



Figure 2: As  $\lambda$  value increases, the function is closer to being discontinuous

## 3 Convexity

Let us first look at the definition of convexity for a set.

**Definition 2.** For a convex set X, for any two points x and y such that  $x, y \in X$ , the line between them lies within the set (Figure 3 A). That is:

 $\forall \theta \in [0,1] \quad and \quad z = \theta x + (1-\theta)y, \quad then \quad z \in X$ 

When parameter  $\theta$  is equal to 1, we get x and when  $\theta$  is 0, we get y. In contrast, a non-convex set is a set where z may lie outside of the set (Figure 3 B).



Figure 3: A) Convex set and B) Non-convex set

**Definition 3** (Convex Linear Combination). The sum  $\theta x + (1 - \theta)y$  is termed as convex linear combination.

We can apply the convex definition to functions.

**Definition 4** (Convex function). A function f(x) is convex if the following holds:

- *The Domain(f) is convex.*
- For any two members of the domain, the function value on a convex combination does not exceed the convex combination of values.

$$\forall x, y \in Domain(f), \theta \in [0, 1]$$
$$f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \le \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta)f(y)$$

Another way to express this would be to check the line segment connecting x and y (the chord). If the chord lies above the function itself (Figure 4) the function is convex.



Figure 4: Example of convex and non-convex functions

Moreover, for differentiable or twice differentiable functions, it is possible to define convexity with the following first and second order conditions for convexity.

**Definition 5** (First order condition for convexity). f(x) is convex if and only if domain(f) is convex and the following holds for  $\forall x, y \in domain(f)$ 

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y - x)$$

In other words, the function should be lower bounded by all its tangents.

In Figure 5, part of the non-convex function is below the tangent at point x. This is not the case for the convex function. The convex function should therefore be *lower-bounded* by all the tangents at any point.

As a reminder, the Hessian is a measure of curvature. It is the multivariate generalization for second derivative. Indeed, for function  $f(x) = \frac{h}{2}x^2$ , the second derivative f''(x) = h, which corresponds to a measure of how quickly the shape changes in the function. A multivariate quadratic can be written as  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T Hx$ , where H is the Hessian. Curvature along the eigenvectors of the Hessian is given by the corresponding eigenvalues.

$$H = Q\Lambda Q^T$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} h_1 & & \\ & h_2 & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & h_d \end{bmatrix}$$



Figure 5: Example of convex and non-convex function relative to the tangent at point x



Figure 6: Looking along the principle directions of the quadratic, it appears that along  $q_1$  we reach higher values more quickly. This means the curvature is higher along  $q_1$ .

Changing the basis with Q, we decompose the matrix and focus on the direction described by  $Q = [q_1, q_2, ..., q_d]$ . Along the direction of  $q_i$ , we see the curvature for  $h_i$  (Figure 6). Note that  $[h_1, h_2, ..., h_d]$  are sorted in order of magnitude.

If the function is twice differentiable, another convexity definition applies.

Definition 6 (Second order condition for convexity). A twice differentiable function f is convex if and only if:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$$
 where  $x \in domain(f(x))$ 

This also implies that the Hessian needs to be positive semi-definite, in other words, eigenvalues need to be nonnegative.

Note: All the definitions of convexity are equivalent when the right level of differentiability holds.

## 4 Smoothness and Strong Convexity

**Definition 7** (Smoothness). A function f(x) is  $\beta$ -smooth if the following holds:

$$||\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)|| \le \beta ||x - y|| \quad where \quad x, y \in domain(f(x)).$$
(1)

It is noted that  $\beta$ -smoothness of f(x) is equivalent to  $\beta$ -Lipschitz of  $\nabla f(x)$ . Smoothness constraint requires the gradient of f(x) to not change rapidly.

**Definition 8** (Strong Convexity). A function f(x) is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex if  $f(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2}||x||^2$  is convex.

If f(x) is  $\alpha$ -strongly convex then the following hold:

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq \alpha I \Leftrightarrow \nabla^2 f(x) - \alpha I \succeq 0.$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

It informally means that the curvature of f(x) is not very close to zero. For instance, in 1-D case,  $f(x) = \frac{h}{2}x^2$  is *h*-strongly convex but not  $(h + \epsilon)$ -strongly convex. Figure 7 illustrates examples of two convex functions of which only one is strongly convex.



Figure 7: (a) A convex function which is also strongly convex. (b) A convex function which is not strongly convex.

#### **5** Gradient Descent

Gradient descent is an optimization algorithm based on the fact that a function f(x) decreases fastest in the direction of the negative gradient of f(x) at a current point. Consequently, starting from a guess  $x_0$  for a local minimum of f(x) the sequence  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is generated using the following rule:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \gamma \nabla f(x_k), \tag{3}$$

in which  $\gamma$  is called the *step size* or the *learning rate*. If f(x) is convex and  $\gamma$  decays at the right rate, it is guaranteed that as  $t \to \infty$ ,  $x_k \to x^*$ . The following holds for the optimal value  $x^*$ :

$$x^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{x \in \mathrm{Domain}(f(x))} f(x). \tag{4}$$

**Lemma 1.** From L-Lipschitz constraint the following holds:

$$||\nabla f(x_k)||_2^2 \le L^2. \tag{5}$$

This lemma is used in the proof on the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** (Gradient Descent Theory). Let f(x) be convex and L-lipschitz, if T is the total number of steps taken and the learning rate is chosen as:

$$\gamma = \frac{||x_1 - x^*||_2}{L\sqrt{T}}$$
(6)

Then the following holds:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{k=1}^{T}X_{k}\right) - f(x^{*}) \le \frac{||x_{1} - x^{*}||L}{\sqrt{T}},$$
(7)

*Proof.* By applying the Taylor expansion on f(x) at the point  $x_k$ , we have,

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \left\langle \nabla f(x_k), x_k - x^* \right\rangle \tag{8}$$

$$=\left\langle \frac{1}{\gamma}(x_k - x_{k+1}), x_k - x^* \right\rangle \tag{9}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\gamma} \Big( ||x_k - x^*||_2^2 - ||x_{k+1} - x^*||_2^2 \Big) + \gamma^2 ||\nabla f(x_k)||_2^2$$
(10)

From Equation (10) and Lemma 1, the following holds:

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\gamma} \left( ||x_k - x^*||_2^2 - ||x_{k+1} - x^*||_2^2 \right) + \frac{\gamma}{2} L^2$$
(11)

By change of the variable  $||x_k - x^*||_2^2$  to  $D_k$ :

$$f(x_{1}) - f(x^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} [D_{1}^{2} - D_{2}^{2}] + \frac{\gamma}{2} L^{2}$$

$$f(x_{2}) - f(x^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} [D_{2}^{2} - D_{3}^{2}] + \frac{\gamma}{2} L^{2}$$
...
$$f(x_{T}) - f(x^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} [D_{T}^{2} - D_{T+1}^{2}] + \frac{\gamma}{2} L^{2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2\gamma} [D_{T}^{2}] + \frac{\gamma}{2} L^{2}.$$
(12)

Summing all the equations, most terms cancel. This is known as the telescoping sum. We get:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{T} (f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\gamma} D_1^2 + \frac{T\gamma L^2}{2}$$
(13)

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{2\gamma T} D_1^2 + \frac{\gamma L^2}{2}$$
(14)

From convexity of f(x) we know:

$$f(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \le \theta f(x) + (1 - \theta f(y))$$
(15)

So from Equation 14 and 15 the following holds:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{k=1}^{T}x_{k}\right) - f(x^{*}) \le \frac{1}{2\gamma T}D_{1}^{2} + \frac{\gamma L^{2}}{2}$$
(16)

Thus, if we set  $\gamma = \frac{||x_1 - x^*||}{L\sqrt{T}}$ , we get:

$$f\left(\frac{1}{T}\sum_{k=1}^{T}X_{k}\right) - f(x^{*}) \le \frac{||x_{1} - x^{*}||L}{\sqrt{T}}.$$
(17)

## References

[1] Bubeck, Sbastien. "Convex optimization: Algorithms and complexity." Foundations and Trends in Machine Learning 8.3-4 (2015): 231-357.