

IFT 6085 - Lecture 11 (Stability and PAC Bayes)

This version of the notes has not yet been thoroughly checked. Please report any bugs to the scribes or instructor.

Scribe(S): [Amy Zhang, William Fedus]

Instructor: Ioannis Mitliagkas

Summary

Sufficient condition: Given enough samples we can achieve a good enough generalization. However, typically in deep learning, we never have large enough data sets to get non-vacuous or meaningful bounds.

Last Time	Today
PAC Bounds	Stability
Occam Bounds	PAC Bayes
PAC Bayes Bounds	(Practical) Generalization
Stability Bounds	

How can we go from PAC Bayes to a non-vacuous generalization bound?

By sacrificing some data as part of a dedicated test set, we can measure test set generalization and achieve a tighter bound than the weak population bounds. See *Tutorial on Practical Prediction Theory for Classification* [1] for a comprehensive examination.

Stability

Definition 1 (Uniformly β -stable algorithm).

$$h_s = \mathcal{A}(S), h_s \in \mathcal{H}$$

Algorithm \mathcal{A} is stable if $\forall (s, z), \forall i = \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$\sup_{z' \in \mathcal{Z}} |l(h_s, z') - l(h_{S_{i,z}}, z')| \leq \beta$$

where S is the data set, z is an evaluation sample and $S_{i,z}$ refers to replacing the i^{th} element in S with z .

Theorem 2.

$$R[h_s] \leq \hat{R}_s[h_s] + \beta + \dots + (\beta n + \frac{M}{2}) \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln 2/\delta}{n}}$$

The term $(\beta n + \frac{M}{2}) \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln 2/\delta}{n}}$ is $O(\beta \sqrt{n})$. Informally, an algorithm is stable if $\beta = O(\frac{1}{n})$. If stability is $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})$, this term is $O(1)$ and we can no longer show decrease in generalization gap with increase in n .

Empirical Risk Minimization + Regularization is Stable

Notation:

$$\hat{R}_S(w) \triangleq \hat{R}_S(h_w)$$

where h_w is a model parameterized by weights w .

$$\begin{aligned} l(h, z) &\equiv l(h(x), y) \\ l(h_w, z) &\equiv l(w, z) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3 (ERM with regularization is β -stable).

$$f_S(w) = \hat{R}_S(w) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2$$

Proof. Consider weights u, v for two different models.

$$f_S(v) - f_S(u) = [\hat{R}_S(v) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|v\|_2^2] - [\hat{R}_S(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2]$$

We perturb the dataset by replacing the data point at i with z'_i . Now we get:

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(v) - f_S(u) &= \hat{R}_{S_{i,z'_i}}(v) + \lambda \|v\|_2^2 - (\hat{R}_{S_{i,z'_i}}(u) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_2^2) + \frac{l(v, z_i) - l(v, z'_i)}{n} - \frac{l(u, z_i) - l(u, z'_i)}{n} \\ &= f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(v) - f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(u) + \frac{l(v, z_i) - l(v, z'_i)}{n} - \frac{l(u, z_i) - l(u, z'_i)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Now we substitute $v = \mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})$ and $u = \mathcal{A}(S)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) - f_S(\mathcal{A}(S)) &= f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) - f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(\mathcal{A}(S)) \\ &\quad + \frac{l(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}), z_i) - l(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}), z'_i)}{n} - \frac{l(\mathcal{A}(S), z_i) - l(\mathcal{A}(S), z'_i)}{n} \end{aligned}$$

Because

$$\begin{aligned} f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) &= \min_w f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(w) \\ \implies \forall w f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(w) &\geq f_{S_{i,z'_i}}(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 4. $l(\cdot|z)$ is L -Lipschitz.

$$\begin{aligned} f_S(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) - f_S(\mathcal{A}(S)) &\leq \frac{l(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}), z_i) - l(\mathcal{A}(S), z_i)}{n} - \frac{l(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}), z'_i) - l(\mathcal{A}(S), z'_i)}{n} \\ &\leq 2 \frac{L}{n} \|\mathcal{A}(S) - \mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})\|_2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Assumption 5. $\hat{R}_S(w)$ is cvx.

Which gives us $f_S(w)$ is λ -str cvx. Now we perform a Taylor expansion:

$$f_S(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})) - f_S(\mathcal{A}(S)) \geq \frac{\lambda}{2} \|\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}) - \mathcal{A}(S)\|_2^2 \tag{2}$$

Since $\mathcal{A}(S)$ is the minimizer of f_s and λ -str cvx the first term disappears.

From 1 and 2 we get:

$$\|\mathcal{A}(S) - \mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i})\| \leq \frac{4L}{\lambda n} \tag{3}$$

If we perturb the data by a single element, we learn \mathcal{A} that can become arbitrarily close for large n .

We then use 3 and the L -Lipschitz property of $l(\cdot, z)$:

$$\implies \sup_z |l(\mathcal{A}(S), z) - l(\mathcal{A}(S_{i,z'_i}), z)| \leq \frac{4L^2}{\lambda n}$$

□

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD) is Stable

Stability Theorem

Recall the SGD update formula,

$$w_{t+1} = w_t - \alpha_t \nabla_w l(w_t, z_{i,t}), i_t \sim \text{uniform}(1, \dots, n) \quad (4)$$

where w_t is the weight iterate at time t , α_t is an (annealing) learning rate at time t and $l(w_t, z_{i,t})$ is the computed loss for the current weight iterate for a particular example $z_{i,t}$.

Theorem 6. *If $f(\cdot, z)$ is γ -smooth, convex and L -Lipschitz, then*

$$\beta \leq \frac{2L^2}{n} \sum_{t=1}^T \alpha_t$$

Analysis:

We are no longer requiring the function to be strongly convex. Additionally, this result holds for a finite number of steps T .

Stability Proof (Rough Outline)

We will consider two runs of the SGD algorithm. One run will be on the original data set S and the other run will be on the data set S_{i,z'_i} . Recall, this indicates the same data set S only now with the i^{th} element swapped with element z'_i . In order to compare the stability between the two runs, we maintain the same order of element selection (same random seed) for $t = 1, \dots, T$.

Definition 7.

$$\delta_t = \|w_t - w'_t\|$$

where w'_t denotes the iterate for the SGD algorithm on the data set S_{i,z'_i} .

We can write the expectation of the difference δ_{t+1} as the following:

$$E[\delta_{t+1}] = P(i_t = i)E[\delta_{t+1}|i_t = 1] + P(i_t \neq i)E[\delta_{t+1}|i_t \neq 1] \quad (5)$$

We introduce two Lemmas

Lemma 0.1. *We may use co-coercivity to show*

$$E[\delta_{t+1}|i_t \neq 1] \leq E[\delta_t]$$

Lemma 0.2. *And for the index that has been swapped*

$$E[\delta_{t+1}|i_t = 1] \leq E[\delta_t] + 2\alpha_t L$$

where L is the Lipschitz value.

Using Lemmas 0.1, 0.2, we may rewrite Equation 5 as:

$$E[\delta_{t+1}] \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) E[\delta_t] + \frac{1}{n} (E[\delta_t] + 2\alpha_t L) \quad (6)$$

which when recursively unrolled yields the following final δ_T

$$E[\delta_T] = E[\|w_T - w'_T\|] \leq \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{2\alpha_t L}{n} \quad (7)$$

SGD is therefore **stable** since $\sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \frac{2\alpha_t L}{n} \equiv \beta$ is $O(\frac{1}{n})$ for n data points.

References

- [1] J. Langford. Tutorial on practical prediction theory for classification. *J. Mach. Learn. Res.*, 6:273–306, Dec. 2005. ISSN 1532-4435. URL <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1046920.1058111>.